G. R. S. 58]
Previous references.

File No. 206-10

1910.
(Courts)

Serial Nos. 1—6

Later references.
Cb. 78-12 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–6

Part B for July. 11.

SUBJECT.

Form of monthly civil Statements.

Table of Contents

From the Regr. Ch. Ct. No 3912/251 dy 23.2.11.
Submits for sanction a revised form of monthly civil Statements and suggests the abolition of the practice of calling for explanation of delay—

2. To the Regr. Ch. Ct No 2650 dy 20.3.11
Invites the opinion of the Ch. Ct on certain points.

3. From the same to the same No 4584 dy 5.4.11
Forwards the opinion of the Ch. Ct.

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

4. To the Regr. Co. Ct. No. 3243 & 23-8-24
Approves of the new form of
monthly statements with certain suggestions

5. From the same Office No 5196/320 & 6-6-24
States that the suggestions of
Govt have been given effect to.

6. To the Regr. Co. Ct No 3243 5-7-24
Records transferred

G.R.S. 11] 190-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Courts)

NOTES.

Cts File No. 206-10.

FILE HEADING.

Form of the monthly Civil Statement.

From The Registrar of the Chief Courts of Mysore

No. 3912/251 Dated 23-2-1911 Received 28-2-11.

Diary No. 12202 | Enclos. one Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for Sanction a revised form of the monthly Civil Statement, and Suggests the abolition, as an experimental measure, of the practice of Calling for explanations of delay which at present entail an enormous waste of time labour and paper.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subd for orders

H.S. (122) 3.3.11. LW (11) 4/3/11

The proposed forms differs from the Existing Statement in the following particulars:—

(1) The name of the presiding Judge

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

Serial No.

x But this does not matter as the judge responsible for the statement will, usually, have signed it.
SH

of the Court is, to be, omitted. x

(2) The new form does not show how long, if a case is under one year, it has been pending in a Court. In the Existing Statement the number of cases pending for more than 3 months ~~and 6 and~~ ~~respectively~~ ~~are~~ is also shown

(3) The information now furnished regarding delay of more than 10 delay in the delivery of judgments in Cases in which the ~~hearing~~ trial has been closed is done away with. It is not known what the Expedient the Chief Court intend devising to Control the Vagaries of Subordinate judges in this matter. The point is one that seems to require Consideration in view of Certain matters that were recently before Govt.

(4) Para 2 of the letter under disposal The Submission of Explanations may be done away with once for all These Explanations are nothing more than transcripts of orders passed

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

by the judge on each occasion the case is adjourned after the commencement of the quarter. The object of the return was to enable the Chief Court to satisfy itself that the adjournment in each case was granted on adequate grounds. But the number of cases in which these orders have to be passed are so numerous (probably over a thousand, for the whole State) that it is perhaps correct to say that the Chief Court can not find time to devote any attention to this work. To occasionally call for a record (which appears to be pending under long) and ~~so through it~~ inspect it would, all things considered appear to be a more satisfactory way of ~~doing~~ determining whether a case has been adjourned on proper grounds. The suggestion of the Ch. Ct. may be applied.

4/3/4

2nd J. (5) I think the explanations may be abolished. But is it

1667

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.	
	10 Draft Subd for approval Hcs (122) 16.3.1911. SW.4 17/3 Issued 17.3.11
2	To the Registrar, Chief Court. No J 2650 dd 20-3-1911. Invites the opinion of the Chief Court on the points raised above.
3	From the Same officer, No 4584/278 dd 5-4-11 Furnishes the opinion of the Chief Court.
11	Subd for orders with a draft Govt Sanction does not appear to have been obtained for the cancellation of Column (9) of the present monthly Statement. Hcs (122) SW (11) 10-4-11. 15/4 12 Dray approved 11/4/11.

Date of Cases pending in monthly Statement 3 months

1667

2nd

11/4/11

11041
13.4.11

11/4/11

Draft approved

15 May 11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G 251-50,000-12-8-10

12702.

Rev. 3912/251 Qu:1 (1) C/s 206-10=1

Bangalore, dated 23rd February 191[illegible]
25

From

The Registrar of the
Chief court of Mysore.

To

The Offg: Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
Generals and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I am directed by the Judges of the Chief court to submit for the sanction of the Government a revised form of monthly civil stat ment. The form at present in use is very incom- plete and at the same time furnishes information which is not required in British India.

Page 171 of the Civil Digest.

2. I am further desired to inqui whether the Government would approve as an experimental measure the abolition of the explan tions of delay which at present entail an enormous waste of time, labour and paper. In the Centr Province

Provinces these explanations were abolished several years ago without producing any ill consequences. The Judicial commissioner was in the habit of calling for cases of long standing and dealing with each such case on the merits. These notes in pending cases were often circulated to the other courts with the very best results.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant,

Registrar.

2

12/3/11

4

G.R.S. 26J

Cts. File No. 2061o

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J2650

General and Revenue Secretariat

(CS)

, the *19* .

To The Registrar Chief Court

Date of issue 24-3-11

Signed by M B Ramanayaka

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 3912/251 dated the 23rd February 1911, forwarding for sanction a revised form of the monthly Civil Statement, I am directed to enquire whether in the opinion of the Chief Court, it is not necessary to obtain once a quarter

over.

SUBJECT.

or half-year information
regarding the file numbers
of cases pending for each
year with a view to enable
it or other Controlling authority
to call for records for
examination, and also
in regard to processes,
whether it is considered
unnecessary to obtain
information regarding
processes ~~Contained~~ contained in Column
13 of the present Statement,
or whether it is secured
otherwise. I am to add
that it seems to Govt to
be expedient to require
Courts to report each
month ~~the number of~~ the numbers of
Cases in which judgments
are not ready a week or
ten days after the closing
of Cases. I have,

List of enclosures,

Copied by KB 18/3

Examined by SM

KB
17-3-11

For Secy to Govt.

SM(EM)

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

2598/9-5-11

G.B.S. 28.]

(11)

C. File No. 206-10

Serial No. 4.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(C.S.)

, the 19

Date of issue 23-5-11

Signed by Mr. S. Hariyannaya

READ— Letter No. 3912/231 dated the 23rd Feb 1911, from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore submitting for sanction a revised form for the civil

ORDER THEREON.—No.

of the monthly Statement of ~~Civil business in the~~ Courts of ~~Munsifs & Civil Judges~~ the State ~~added & proposed~~, and suggesting the abolition as an experimental measu

over

SUBJECT.

the Courts submitting, every quarter,
of the practice of ~~taking~~ explanations of delay.

(2) Correspondence ending
with letter No 4584-2-78 dated
the 5th April 1911, from the Same Office furnishing
the opinion of the Chief
Court on certain points.

Order thereon No:- J 3243/CS-206-10-4 of 28/5/11.
Govt approve of the revised
form of the monthly Statement
of Civil business transacted
in the Courts, with the observation
that it is desirable to add
a foot-note to the
effect that the number
of Cases in which the
delivery of Judgments
has been delayed for more
than ten days should be
noted in the Column of
Remarks.

V The suggestion of the
Chief Court to abolish asan

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

experimental measure the
practice of ~~asking for~~ submitting materials
explanations of delay. also affirm
~~needs better than approved~~
3 Dtd a suggestion for Secy to
Whether the heading Govt
of Cl 4 should not be
Do
The Registrar Civil
Court.

"19 — 19 Previous"

15 May 11

10-4-11

Copies 24/5"

GPB—10,000—22-2-11

G.R.S. 28.]

Ct. File No. 206-10
Serial No. 6.

3070/2516

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Cs)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 5th July 1911

Signed by Mr S Srinivasaiya

READ--

Govt Progs No. 3243-ct
286-10-4 & 23rd May 1911
approving of the revised
form of the monthly
Statement of Civil

ORDER THEREON.—No.

business transacted
in the Courts with certain
observations.
(2) Letter No. 5496/320 & 6th
June 1911, from the Registra

over

SUBJECT.

of the Chief Engr, stating that the Suggestions of Govt made in the above order have been ~~given~~ accepted

Order thereon N:- J 39/65-206-10-69 5/7/11.

Recorded

for Secy

To

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

SW(II) 1576

DFO HC2. (122) 13-6-[illegible]

26 23/6/11

Engr